APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SE	CTIONI: BACKGROUNDINFORMATION
A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 7/15/22
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Fort Worth District, Mitchell & Morgan 19-acre site, SWF-
	22-00265
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State: Texas County: Brazos City: College Station
1.	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 30.579446 N, Long96.260735 W. Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: Lick Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the a quatic resource flows: Brazos River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 120701030707
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are a vailable upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are a ssociated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
	Field Determination. Date(s): 7/7/22
SE	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are No "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part
329	9) in the review area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
	Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
	Commerce. Explain.
R	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are and Are Not "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review
are	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
	TNWs, including territorial seas
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
	Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands a diagent to but not directly a butting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands a djacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	150 lated (intersace of intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area (See attached tables):
	Non-wetland waters: 550 linear feet and 0 acres total for open water ponds

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual and OHWM indicators.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Unknown.

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Wetlands: 0 acres.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a <u>tributary</u> that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

A Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to not be jurisdictional. Explain: There are 2 preamble waters consisting of an upland stock tank and upland ditch that drains upland areas on the tract.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

TNW 1.

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF В. ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met. The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4. A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law. If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of <u>non-TNWs tributaries</u> that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 60 acres Drainage area: -- acres

Average annual rainfall: 40 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

· • • >	D1 ' 1	α	
(ii)	Physical	t narac	teristics

(2	a) Relationship with TNW:
	☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
	☐ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries (Lick Ck. and Navasota R.) before entering TNW.
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from RPW.
	Project waters are 18 a erial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **0.25** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. No Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW5: Unnamed tributary to Lick Creek to Navasota River to Brazos River.

Tributary stream order, if known: 1st.

(b)	General	Tributary Characteristics (check all that appl	y):
Tributa	ry is:	☑ Natural. Explain:	

☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain:

Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Road crossing.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width - Approximately 5-feet at the western boundary of the investigation area and reduces to 1-3-feet as it traverses the site from the west boundary to the east boundary of the investigation site.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Average depth - The ephemeral stream was observed to have a consistent flow and stream depth ranged from 1 to 8 inches at the time of the consultant site investigation due to a large rain event received approximately 24 hours prior to their site investigation. According to the Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) approximately 3.5 inches of rain was recorded 24 hours prior to the site investigation. According to the APT report the investigation area was experiencing Modeerate Drought and the Conditions were determined to be Normal.

Average side slopes: 2:1.
Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
☐ Silts ☐ Concrete
☐ Cobbles ☐ Gravel ☐ Muck
☐ Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover:
Other. Explain: .
Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:
Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:
Tributary geometry: Meandering
Tributary gradient (approximate a verage slope): 1 %
(c) Flow:
Tributary provides for: Ephemeral
Estimate average number of flow events in review a rea/year: Greater than 20 based on APT outputs of
approximately 1-inch events.
Describe flow regime: Flashy and ephemeral
Other information on duration and volume:
Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics:.
Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings: .
Dye (or other) test performed:
Tributary has (check all that apply):
☑ Bed and banks
OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply):
☐ ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ the presence of litter and debris
changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation
shelving the presence of wrack line
vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting
☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away ☐ scour
sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events
water staining abrupt change in plant community
other (list):
Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that
apply):
High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
oil or scum line a long shore objects survey to a vailable datum;
fine shell/debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;
physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
☐ tidal gauges
other (list):
(iii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed
characteristics, etc.). Explain: water color is clear in photos; dry at time of site visit.
Identify specific pollutants, if known:.
racinny specific politiants, il known
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
 □ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, a verage width): Based on aerial photos varies from 10-150 fee
total.
☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

			plain findings: y-sensitive species. Expl nd stream provides wate		an corridor provides habitat
2.	(i) Ph	cteristics of <u>wetlands</u> adjaysical Characteristics: <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties: Wetland size: a cres Wetland type. Expla	eteristics:	low directly or indirectly in	nto TNW
		Wetland quality. Exp		s. Explain:	
	(b)	General Flow Relations! Flow is: Pick List Explain Surface flow is: Pick Li Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick L Dye (or other) tes	ain:. st .ist Explain findings:		
	(c)	☐ Ecological conne	hydrologic connection. E		ne wetland.
	(d)	Project waters are Pick Flow is from: Pick List	k Listriver miles from Th Lista erial (stra ight) miles		
	wa	Chemical Characterist a racterize wetland system tershed characteristics; etc entify specific pollutants, i	(e.g., water color is clear, c.). Explain:.	brown, oil film on surface;	water quality; general
		Riparian buffer. Characteristics. Riparian buffer. Characteristics. Vegetation type/percent Habitat for: Federally Listed spectors. Fish/spawn areas. Ex Other environmentall Aquatic/wildlife dive	teristics (type, a verage wide cover. Explain:. lies. Explain findings: plain findings: y-sensitive species. Expl	dth):	
3.	All Ap	cteristics of all wetlands a wetland(s) being conside proximately () a cres in to reach wetland, specify the <u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	red in the cumulative a nal talare being considered ir	ysis: Pick List	Size (in acres)

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: Stream carries nutrients and organic carbon downstream to TNW; filters pollutants and assists in sediment transport; serves as travel corridor for wildlife. Given the lack of aquatic resources on the tract as well as the region, its contributions of flow, organic matter from primary productivity due to hydrologic connectivity to the TNW rises to the level of significance.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

١.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
	Wetlands a djacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale
	indicating that tributary is perennial: .
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that
	tributary flows seasonally: .
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

⁸See Footnote #3.

Tributary waters: linear feet 550 width 3 (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres.
Identify type(s) of waters:
 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as a djacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, a bove. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly a butting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, a bove. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly a butting an RPW: Provide a creage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly a but an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are a djacent and with similarly situated a djacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide a creage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: a cres.
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters and have, when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): □ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . □ Wetlands: acres.
NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were a ssessed within the review a rea, these a reas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or a ppropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review a rea included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review a rea would have been regulated based
solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): There are 2 preamble waters consisting of an upland stock tank and upland ditch that drains upland areas on the tract.

E.

F.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

ju: ag	OLATED - Provide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of risdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated riculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width(ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
me 	AILS SIGNIFICANTNEXUS - Provide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review a rea that do not eet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of a quatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
A. SUI	PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, here checked and requested, a ppropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps na vigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: Online viewer. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: